arXiv:1603.09538v3 [math.AP] 12 Aug 2016

MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLINEAR SCALAR FIELD EQUATION INVOLVING THE FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN

VINCENZO AMBROSIO

Abstract. We investigate the existence of multiple solutions for the following nonlinear fractional equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u = g(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

where $s \in (0,1)$, $N \geq 2$, $(-\Delta)^s$ is the fractional Laplacian and $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an odd $\mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}$ function satisfying Berestycki-Lions type assumptions. The proof is based on the symmetric mountain pass approach developed by Hirata, Ikoma and Tanaka in [27]. Moreover, by combining the mountain pass approach and an approximation argument, we also prove the existence of a positive radially symmetric solution for the above problem when g satisfies suitable growth conditions which make our problem fall in the so called "zero mass" case.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the following fractional scalar field equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u = g(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.1}$$

with $s \in (0,1)$, $N \geq 2$, and $(-\Delta)^s$ is the fractional Laplacian which may be defined along a function u belonging to the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of rapidly decaying functions as

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{N,s} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^N).$$

The symbol P.V. stands for the Cauchy principal value and $C_{N,s}$ is a normalizing constant; see [21].

In recent years a great interest has been devoted to the study of elliptic equations involving fractional powers of the Laplacian. This type of operators appears in a quite natural way in many different applications, such as, the thin obstacle problem, optimization, finance, phase transitions, anomalous diffusion, crystal dislocation, soft thin films, flame propagation, conservation laws, ultra-relativistic limits of quantum mechanics, quasi-geostrophic flows, minimal surfaces, materials science and water waves. Since we cannot review the huge literature here, we refer the interested reader to [21, 36], where a more extensive bibliography and an introduction to this topic are given.

Equation (1.1) arises in the study of standing wave solutions for the fractional Schrödinger equation

$$\imath \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = (-\Delta)^s \psi + g(\psi) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35J60, 35R11, 45G05, 49J35.

Key words and phrases. Fractional Laplacian; multiple solutions; symmetric mountain-pass; zero mass case.

when looking for standing waves, that is, solutions having the form $\psi(x,t) = e^{-\imath\omega t}u(x)$, where ω is a constant. Such equation has been introduced by Laskin [31, 32] as a result of expanding the Feynman path integral, from the Brownian like to the Levy like quantum mechanical paths.
In the last years, there has been a lot of interest in the study of the fractional Schrödinger equation. Felmer et al. [22] studied the existence, regularity and qualitative properties of positive solutions to $(-\Delta)^s u + u = f(x,u)$ when f has a subcritical growth and satisfies the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. Coti Zelati and Nolasco [19, 20] investigated positive stationary solutions for a class of nonlinear pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equations involving the operator $\sqrt{-\Delta + m^2}$ with $m > 0$; see also [5, 6] for related models. Secchi [39, 40] proved some existence results for some nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations under the assumptions that the nonlinearity is either of perturbative type or satisfies the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. Molica Bisci and Radulescu [35] obtained the existence of multiple ground state solutions for a class of parametric fractional Schrödinger equations. Frank et al. [24] established uniqueness and nondegeneracy of ground state solutions to $(-\Delta)^s u + u = |u|^\alpha u$ for all H^s-admissible powers $\alpha \in (0, \alpha^*)$.

In the fundamental papers [12, 13] Berestycki and Lions studied the existence and the multiplicity of nontrivial solutions to (1.1) when $s = 1$, that is

$$-\Delta u = g(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

where $N \geq 3$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an odd continuous function such that

(BL1) $-\infty < \liminf_{t\to 0^+} \dfrac{g(t)}{t} \leq \limsup_{t\to 0^+} \dfrac{g(t)}{t} = -m < 0$;

(BL2) $-\infty < \limsup_{t\to +\infty} \dfrac{g(t)}{t^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}}} \leq 0$;

(BL3) There exists $\xi_0 > 0$ such that $G(\xi_0) = \displaystyle\int_0^{\xi_0} g(\tau)d\tau > 0$.

To obtain the existence of a positive solution to (1.2), the authors in [12] developed a subtle Lagrange multiplier procedure which ultimately relies on the Pohozaev's identity for (1.2). The lack of compactness due to the translational invariance of (1.2) is regained working in the subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of radially symmetric functions. In [13] the authors showed that (1.2) possesses infinitely many distinct bound states by applying the genus theory to the even functional $V(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)\,dx$ defined on the symmetric manifold $M = \{u \in H^1_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N) : ||\nabla u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1\}$, and obtain infinitely many critical points of V.
Subsequently the existence and multiplicity of solutions of closely related problems to (1.2) have been extensively studied by many authors; see for instance [2, 9, 14, 29, 30]. The question that naturally arises is whether or not the above classical existence and multiplicity results for equation (1.2) still hold in the nonlocal framework of (1.1). In [18] the existence of positive solutions to (1.1) has been obtained by combining the monotonicity trick of Struwe-Jeanjean [28] and the Pohozaev identity for the fractional Laplacian. The aim of this paper is to answer the question with respect to the existence of infinitely many solutions for the equation (1.1).
Now we state the assumption on the nonlinearity g. Let us assume that $g \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ for some $\alpha > \max\{0, 1-2s\}$, is odd and satisfies the following properties

$(g1)$ $-\infty < \liminf_{t\to 0^+} \dfrac{g(t)}{t} \le \limsup_{t\to 0^+} \dfrac{g(t)}{t} = -m < 0;$

$(g2)$ $-\infty < \limsup_{t\to +\infty} \dfrac{g(t)}{t^{2_s^*-1}} \le 0$, where $2_s^* = \dfrac{2N}{N-2s}$;

$(g3)$ There exists $\xi_0 > 0$ such that $G(\xi_0) = \displaystyle\int_0^{\xi_0} g(\tau)d\tau > 0.$

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let $s \in (0,1)$ and $N \ge 2$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ be an odd function satisfying $(g1)-(g3)$. Then (1.1) possesses infinitely many (possibly sign-changing) radially symmetric weak solutions $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{2}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x)-u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_n)\,dx \to \infty \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

For a weak solution of problem (1.1) we mean a function $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))\,dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u)\varphi\,dx$$

for any $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

We would like to observe that our result is in clear accordance with that for the classical local counterpart. For this reason Theorem 1 can be seen as the fractional version of the multiplicity result given in [13].

It is worth noting that, a common approach to deal with fractional nonlocal problems, which was given by Caffarelli and Silvestre [17], is via the Dirichlet-Neumann map transforming (1.1) into a local problem. However, in this work, we prefer to investigate the problem directly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The proof of the main result is based on the symmetric mountain pass approach developed in [27].

We know that weak solutions to (1.1) are critical points of the even functional on $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)\,dx.$$

Since I has a mountain pass geometry, we can define symmetric mountain pass values b_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ for $I(u)$. By using Ekeland's principle, we can find a Palais-Smale sequence at level b_n for I, but unfortunately we are not able to prove the Palais-Smale compactness condition for $I(u)$. To overcome this difficulty, we seek a Palais-Smale sequence with a property related to the fractional Pohozaev identity which is satisfied by every critical point of $I(u)$. For this reason we introduce the auxiliary functional in the augmented space $\mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\tilde{I}(\theta,u) = \frac{1}{2}e^{(N-2s)\theta}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy - e^{N\theta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)dx$$

for $(\theta, u) \in \mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and we show that $\tilde{I}$ admits a Palais-Smale sequence $(\theta_k, u_k) \subset \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta_k \to 0,\\
&\tilde{I}(\theta_k, u_k) \to \tilde{b}_n,\\
&\tilde{I}'(\theta_k, u_k) \to 0 \text{ strongly in } (H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*,\\
&\frac{N-2s}{2} e^{(N-2s)\theta_k} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy - Ne^{N\theta_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_k)dx \to 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where $\tilde{b}_n$ are the minimax values for $\tilde{I}(\theta, u)$. Since $b_n = \tilde{b}_n \to \infty$ and $\tilde{I}(\theta, u)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{I}(0, u) = I(u),\\
&\tilde{I}(\theta, u(x)) = I(u(e^{-\theta}x)) \text{ for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}, u \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N),
\end{aligned}$$

the properties in (1.3) allow us to show that (u_k) is bounded, and that it admits a strongly convergent subsequence. Then, (1.1) possesses infinitely many radially symmetric solutions which are characterized by the symmetric mountain pass arguments in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

By using the mountain pass approach, we are also able to prove the existence of a positive solution to (1.1) in the null mass case, that is when g satisfies the following properties

(h_1) $\limsup_{t\to 0^+} \frac{g(t)}{t^{2^*_s-1}} \le 0$ where $2^*_s = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$;

(h_2) $\lim_{t\to+\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t^{2^*_s-1}} = 0$;

(h_3) There exists $\xi_0 > 0$ such that $G(\xi_0) = \int_0^{\xi_0} g(\tau)d\tau > 0$.

In the classic setting, fundamental results for this type of problem have been established in [3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12, 41]. We recall that the "zero mass" case is very important in Physics when we deal with Yang-Mills equations, see [25, 26]. As far as we know, [7, 37] are the only results concerning with zero-mass problems in non-local setting. Here we would like to go further in this direction, by studying (1.1) when g is a general nonlinearity such that $g'(0) = 0$.
Our main second result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2. *Let $s \in (0,1)$ and $N \ge 2$ and $g \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ be an odd function satisfying (h_1)-(h_3). Then (1.1) possesses a nontrivial weak solution $u \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

The proof of this theorem is obtained by combining the mountain pass approach and an approximation argument. Indeed, we show that a solution of (1.1) can be obtained as limit of a sequence of positive radially symmetric solutions (u_ϵ) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, each one solves an approximate "positive mass" problem $(-\Delta)^s u = g(u) - \epsilon u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The existence of a positive solution for such approximate problem has been obtained in [18] by using the monotonicity trick due to Jeanjean and Struwe, but here we prefer to give an alternative proof which is based on the mountain pass approach. This allows us to obtain lower and upper bounds for the mountain pass critical levels b^ϵ_{mp}, which can be estimated independently from ϵ, when ϵ is sufficiently small. Then, we are able to pass

to the limit in $(-\Delta)^s u_\epsilon = g(u_\epsilon) - \epsilon u_\epsilon$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, and we find a nontrivial solution u to (1.1).
To our knowledge all results presented here are new. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminary results about fractional Sobolev spaces and functional setting. In Section 3 we give the proof of Theorem 1 by using the auxiliary functional $\tilde{I}(\theta, u)$ on the augmented space $\mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In Section 4 we prove the existence of a positive solution to (1.1) and we investigate regularity and symmetry of this solution. Finally, we prove that it is possible to obtain a positive radially symmetric positive solution to (1.1) in the null mass case.

2. Preliminaries and functional setting

In this section we collect some preliminary results which will be useful along the paper.

2.1. **Fractional Sobolev spaces.** We recall some useful facts of the fractional Sobolev spaces; for more details we refer to [21].
For any $s \in (0,1)$ we denote by $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the so-called Gagliardo seminorm

$$[u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dx\, dy.$$

That is

$$\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u] < \infty\}.$$

We also use the notation

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}(v(x) - v(y))\, dx\, dy$$

for all $u, v \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Now, we define

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N}) \right\}$$

endowed with the natural norm

$$||u||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sqrt{[u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + ||u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}}$$

Let us denote by

$$\langle u, v\rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \langle u, v\rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uv\, dx$$

for any $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For the reader's convenience, we review the main embedding result for this class of fractional Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 3. *Let $s \in (0,1)$ and $N > 2s$. Then $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2^*_s]$ and compactly in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2, 2^*_s)$.*

Now we introduce

$$H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : u(x) = u(|x|)\}$$

the space of radial functions in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We recall the following compactness result due to Lions [34]:

Theorem 4. [34] *Let $s \in (0,1)$ and $N \geq 2$. Then $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compactly in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (2, 2^*_s)$.*

Finally, we recall the following useful lemmas:

Lemma 1. [12] *Let $u \in L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $1 \leq t < \infty$ be a nonnegative radial decreasing function (that is $0 \leq u(x) \leq u(y)$ if $|x| \geq |y|$). Then*

$$|u(x)| \leq \left(\frac{N}{\omega_{N-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} |x|^{-\frac{N}{t}} ||u||_{L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)} \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where ω_{N-1} is the Lebesgue measure of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

Lemma 2. [9, 12] *Let P and $Q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous functions satisfying*

$$\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)} = 0,$$

$\{v_j\}_j$, v and w be measurable functions from $\mathbb{R}^N$ to $\mathbb{R}$, with w bounded, such that

$$\sup_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |Q(v_j(x))w| \, dx < +\infty,$$
$$P(v_j(x)) \to v(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then $\|(P(v_j) - v)w\|_{L^1(\mathcal{B})} \to 0$, for any bounded Borel set $\mathcal{B}$.
Moreover, if we have also

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{P(t)}{Q(t)} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |v_j(x)| = 0,$$

then $\|(P(v_j) - v)w\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0$.

Lemma 3. [18] *Let $(X, ||\cdot||)$ be a Banach space such that X is embedded respectively continuously and compactly into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in [q_1, q_2]$ and $q \in (q_1, q_2)$, where $q_1, q_2 \in (0, \infty)$. Assume that $(u_k) \subset X$, $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function and $P \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is such that*

(i) $\lim_{|t| \to 0} \frac{P(t)}{|t|^{q_1}} = 0$,

(ii) $\lim_{|t| \to \infty} \frac{P(t)}{|t|^{q_2}} = 0$,

(iii) $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ||u_k|| < \infty$,

(iv) $\lim_{k \to \infty} P(u_k(x)) = u(x)$ *for a.e.* $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Then, up to a subsequence, we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} ||P(u_k) - u||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0.$$

3. Infinitely many solutions to (1.1)

3.1. **The modification of g and introduction of a penalty function.** We redefine the nonlinearity g as follows:

(i) If $g(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq \xi_0$, put $\tilde{g} = g$;

(ii) If there exists $t_0 > \xi_0$ such that $g(t_0) = 0$, we put

$$\tilde{g}(t) = \begin{cases} g(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, t_0] \\ 0 & \text{for } t \geq t_0 \\ -g(-t) & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $\tilde{g}$ satisfies $(g1)$, $(g3)$ and

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\tilde{g}(t)}{|t|^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}}} = 0. \tag{g2'}$$

By the maximum principle for the fractional Laplacian [16], any solution of $(-\Delta)^s u = \tilde{g}(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is also a solution to (1.1). Therefore, from now on, we will tacitly write g instead of $\tilde{g}$, and we will assume that g satisfies $(g1)$, $(g2')$ and $(g3)$.

Now we introduce a penalty function to construct an auxiliary function. For $t \geq 0$ we define

$$f(t) = \max\Big\{0, \frac{1}{2}mt + g(t)\Big\}$$

and

$$h(t) = t^p \sup_{0<\tau\leq t} \frac{f(\tau)}{\tau^p}$$

where p is a positive number such that $1 < p < \frac{N+2s}{N-2s}$. Note that f and h are well defined by $(g1)$. We extend h as an odd function on $\mathbb{R}$ and we set

$$H(t) = \int_0^t h(\tau)d\tau.$$

Then we can prove the following result:

Lemma 4. *The above function h satisfies the following properties:*
(h1) $h \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $h(t) \geq 0$ and $h(-t) = -h(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.
(h2) There exists $\beta > 0$ such that $h = 0 = H$ on $[-\beta, \beta]$.
(h3) For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{1}{2}mt^2 + g(t)t \leq h(t)t \ \text{ and } \ \frac{1}{4}mt^2 + G(t) \leq H(t).$$

(h4) $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{h(t)}{|t|^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}}} = 0.$

(h5) h satisfies the following Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition:

$$0 \leq (p+1)H(t) \leq h(t)t \ \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(h6) If (u_k) is a bounded sequence in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u_k)u_k\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u)u\,dx.$$

Proof. Let us observe that $(h1)$, $(h2)$ and $(h3)$ follow by $(g1)$ and the definition of f, h and H.
$(h4)$ Firstly, we remark that

$$\frac{h(t)}{t^{2_s^*-1}} = t^{-(2_s^*-1-p)} \sup_{0<\tau\leq t} \frac{f(\tau)}{\tau^p}$$
$$= \sup_{0<\tau\leq t} \frac{f(\tau)}{\tau^{2_s^*-1}} \frac{\tau^{2_s^*-1-p}}{t^{2_s^*-1-p}}$$

Since f satisfies $(g2')$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\Big|\frac{f(\tau)}{\tau^{2_s^*-1}}\Big| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } \tau \geq t_\varepsilon.$$

Set $C_\varepsilon = \sup_{0<\tau\leq t_\varepsilon} \Big|\frac{f(\tau)}{\tau^{2_s^*-1}}\Big|$. Then we have

$$\frac{h(t)}{t^{2_s^*-1}} \leq \max\left\{ \sup_{0<\tau\leq\tau_\varepsilon} \Big|\frac{f(\tau)}{\tau^{2_s^*-1}}\Big| \frac{\tau_\varepsilon^{2_s^*-1-p}}{t^{2_s^*-1-p}}, \sup_{\tau_\varepsilon\leq\tau\leq t_\varepsilon} \Big|\frac{f(\tau)}{\tau^{2_s^*-1}}\Big| \right\}$$
$$\leq \max\left\{ \frac{C_\varepsilon \tau_\varepsilon^{2_s^*-1-p}}{t^{2_s^*-1-p}}, \varepsilon \right\}$$

so we deduce that

$$0 \leq \limsup_{t\to+\infty} \frac{h(t)}{t^{2_s^*-1}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

$(h5)$ By the definition of h and H we have

$$(p+1)H(t) - h(t)t = \int_0^t [(p+1)h(\tau) - h(t)]d\tau$$
$$= \int_0^t \Big[(p+1)\tau^p \sup_{0<\xi\leq\tau} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi^p} - t^p \sup_{0<\xi\leq t} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi^p}\Big] d\tau$$
$$\leq \sup_{0<\xi\leq t} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi^p} \int_0^t (p+1)\tau^p - t^p d\tau = 0.$$

Now we prove $(h6)$. Let (u_k) be a bounded sequence in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By using $(h2)$ and $(h4)$ we know that

$$\lim_{|t|\to 0} \frac{h(t)t}{|t|^2} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{h(t)t}{|t|^{2_s^*}} = 0.$$

Then we can apply Lemma 3 with $P(t) = h(t)t$, $q_1 = 2$ and $q_2 = 2_s^*$, to deduce that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u_k)u_k\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u)u\,dx.$$

□

3.2. **Comparison between functionals.** Let us consider the following norm on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$||u||^2 = [u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{m}{2}||u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$

which is equivalent to the standard norm $||\cdot||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.
Let us introduce the following functionals on $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}||u||^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{m}{4}u^2 + G(u)dx$$

and

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}||u||^2 - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} H(u)dx.$$

By assumptions on g, it is clear that I and J are well defined and that they are $C^1(H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))$-functionals. Let us observe that critical points of I and J are respectively weak solutions to (1.1) and $(-\Delta)^s u + \frac{m}{2}u = 2h(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.
We prove that I and J have a symmetric mountain pass geometry:

Lemma 5.
(i) $I(u) \geq J(u)$ *for all* $u \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
(ii) There are $\delta > 0$ *and* $\rho > 0$ *such that*

$$I(u), J(u) \geq \delta > 0 \text{ for } ||u|| = \rho$$
$$I(u), J(u) \geq 0 \text{ for } ||u|| \leq \rho.$$

(iii) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *there exists an odd continuous map* $\gamma_n : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *such that*

$$I(\gamma_n(\sigma)), J(\gamma_n(\sigma)) < 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Proof. (i) follows by $(h3)$ of Lemma 4.
(ii) By Lemma 4 there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$H(t) \leq C|t|^{2^*_s} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, by using Sobolev's embedding, we can see that

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}||u||^2 - C||u||^{2^*_s}_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq ||u||^2\Big[\frac{1}{2} - C'_*||u||^{2^*_s-2}\Big].$$

(iii) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the polyhedron in $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \Big\{\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{j=1}^n |\sigma_j| = 1\Big\}.$$

By using Theorem 10 in [13], for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an odd continuous map $\pi_n : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that:
(i) $\pi_n(\sigma)$ is radial for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,
(ii) $0 \notin \pi_n(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$,
(iii) $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\pi_n(\sigma))dx \geq 1$ for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Since $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\pi_n(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is compact, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$||\pi_n(\sigma)||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M \text{ for any } \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Now, let us define $\psi_n^t(\sigma)(x) = \pi_n(\sigma)(\frac{x}{t})$ with $t \geq 1$.
Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\psi_n^t(\sigma)) &= t^{N-2s}\frac{1}{2}[\pi_n(\sigma)]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - t^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\pi_n(\sigma))dx \\ &\leq t^{N-2s}\Big[\frac{M}{2} - t^{2s}\Big] \to -\infty \text{ as } t \to +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can chose $\overline{t}$ such that $I(\psi_n^{\overline{t}}(\sigma)) < 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, and by setting $\gamma_n(\sigma)(x) := \psi_n^{\overline{t}}(\sigma)(x)$, we can see that γ_n satisfies the required properties for I. Taking into account (i), we can conclude the proof. □

Differently from $I(u)$, the comparison functional $J(u)$ satisfies the following property:

Theorem 5. *The functional J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

Proof. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $(u_k) \subset H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$J(u_k) \to c \text{ and } J'(u_k) \to 0. \tag{3.1}$$

By using $(h5)$ of Lemma 4, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_k) - \frac{J'(u_k)u_k}{p+1} &= \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\Big)||u_k||^2 - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left[H(u_k) - \frac{1}{p+1}h(u_k)u_k\right]dx \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\Big)||u_k||^2 \end{aligned}$$

so we can deduce that (u_k) is bounded in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
Then, up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} &u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ &u_k \to u \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \forall q \in (2, 2^*_s), \\ &u_k \to u \text{ a.e. } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Now, by using $(h1)$ and $(h4)$ in Lemma 4 and (3.2), we can apply the first part of a variant of Strauss' compactness Lemma (see Proposition 2.5 in [9]) with $P(t) = h(t)$ and $Q(t) = |t|^{2^*_s - 1}$, to infer that for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u_k(x))\varphi(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u(x))\varphi(x)dx. \tag{3.3}$$

Putting together (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

$$J'(u)\varphi = J'(u_k)\varphi - \left[\langle u_k - u, \varphi\rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(h(u_k) - h(u))\varphi\, dx\right] \to 0$$

for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that

$$J'(u)\varphi = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In particular $J'(u)u = 0$, that is $||u||^2 = 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u)u\,dx$.
By $(h6)$ of Lemma 4 we know that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u_k)u_k\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u)u\,dx. \tag{3.4}$$

The boundedness of (u_k) and (3.1) give $J'(u_k)u_k \to 0$, which together with (3.4) imply that $||u_k|| \to ||u||$ as $k \to \infty$. Therefore we can conclude that $u_k \to u$ in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $k \to \infty$.

□

Now we define minimax values of I and J by using maps (γ_n) in Lemma 10. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define b_n and c_n as follows:

$$b_n = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_n}\max_{\sigma\in D_n} I(\gamma(\sigma)),$$
$$c_n = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_n}\max_{\sigma\in D_n} J(\gamma(\sigma))$$

where $D_n = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\sigma| \le 1\}$ and

$$\Gamma_n = \{\gamma \in C(D_n, H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma \text{ is odd and } \gamma = \gamma_n \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}.$$

The values b_n and c_n satisfy the following properties.

Lemma 6.
(i) $\Gamma_n \neq \emptyset$ *for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) $0 < \delta \le c_n \le b_n$ *for any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *where* δ *appears in Lemma 10.*

Proof. (i) Let us define

$$\tilde{\gamma}_n(\sigma) := \begin{cases} |\sigma|\gamma_n(\frac{\sigma}{|\sigma|}) & \text{if } \sigma \in D_n \setminus \{0\} \\ 0 & \text{for } \sigma = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\tilde{\gamma}_n \in \Gamma_n$.
(ii) Since $I(u) \ge J(u)$, it holds $c_n \le b_n$. The property $\delta \le c_n$ follows from the fact that

$$\{u \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N) : ||u|| = \rho\} \cap \gamma(D_n) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_n.$$

□

Lemma 7.
(i) The value c_n *is a critical value of* J.
(ii) $c_n \to \infty$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof. (i) follows by Lemma 5.
(ii) Set

$$\Sigma_n = \Big\{h \in C(\overline{D_m \setminus Y}) : h \in \Gamma_m, m \ge n, Y \in \mathcal{E}_m \text{ and } genus(Y) \le m - n\Big\}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_m$ is the family of closed sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ such that $-A = A$ and $genus(A)$ is the Krasnoselski's genus of A. Now we define another sequence of minimax values by setting

$$d_n = \inf_{A\in\Sigma_n}\max_{u\in A} J(u).$$

Then $d_n \le d_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $d_n \le c_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since J satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, we can proceed as in the proof of Proposition 9.33 in [38] to infer that $d_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

□

Let us observe that (ii) of Lemma 6 and (ii) of Lemma 7 yield

$$b_n > 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = \infty. \tag{3.5}$$

In the next section we will prove that b_n are critical values of $I(u)$.

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Let us introduce the following functional

$$\tilde{I}(\theta, u) = \frac{1}{2} e^{(N-2s)\theta} [u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - e^{N\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)dx$$

for $(\theta, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
We endow $\mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the norm

$$||(\theta, u)||_{\mathbb{R}\times H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \sqrt{|\theta|^2 + ||u||^2}.$$

Let us point out that $\tilde{I} \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$, $\tilde{I}(0, u) = I(u)$ and being

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(e^{-\theta}x) - u(e^{-\theta}y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dxdy = e^{(N-2s)\theta} [u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u(e^{-\theta}x))dx = e^{N\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u(x))dx$$

we have

$$\tilde{I}(\theta, u(x)) = I(u(e^{-\theta}x)) \text{ for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}, u \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{3.6}$$

We define minimax values $\tilde{b}_n$ for $\tilde{I}(\theta, u)$ by

$$\tilde{b}_n = \inf_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\tilde{\Gamma}_n} \max_{\sigma\in D_n} \tilde{I}(\gamma(\sigma)),$$

where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_n = \{\tilde{\gamma} \in C(D_n, \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \tilde{\gamma}(\sigma) = (\theta(\sigma), \eta(\sigma)) \text{ satisfies}$$
$$(\theta(-\sigma), \eta(-\sigma)) = (\theta(\sigma), -\eta(\sigma)) \text{ for all } \sigma \in D_n$$
$$(\theta(\sigma), \eta(\sigma)) = (0, \gamma_n(\sigma)) \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}.$$

Through the modified functional $\tilde{I}(\theta, u)$ we aim to show that b_n are critical values for $I(u)$.
We begin proving that

Lemma 8. *$\tilde{b}_n = b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let us observe that $(0, \gamma(\sigma)) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, so we can see that $\Gamma_n \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_n$. Then, being $\tilde{I}(0, u) = I(u)$, by the definitions of b_n and $\tilde{b}_n$ follows that $\tilde{b}_n \leq b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Now fix $\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma) = (\theta(\sigma), \gamma(\sigma)) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$ and we put $\gamma(\sigma) = \eta(\sigma)(e^{-\theta(\sigma)}x)$. Then it is easy to see that $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, and by using (3.6), $I(\gamma(\sigma)) = \tilde{I}(\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma))$ for all $\sigma \in D_n$. As a consequence we have $\tilde{b}_n \geq b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

□

At this point, we show that the functional $\tilde{I}(\theta, u)$ admits a Palais-Smale sequence in $\mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with a property related to the fractional Pohozaev identity

$$\frac{N-2s}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 \, dx = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u) \, dx.$$

Firstly we give a version of Ekeland's principle:

Lemma 9. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that $\tilde{\gamma}_n \in \overline{\Gamma}_n$ satisfies*

$$\max_{\sigma \in D_n} \tilde{I}(\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma)) \leq \tilde{b}_n + \varepsilon.$$

Then there exists $(\theta, u) \subset \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that:
(i) $\mathrm{dist}_{\mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)}((\theta, u), \tilde{\gamma}(D_n)) \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.
(ii) $\tilde{I}(\theta, u) \in [b_n - \varepsilon, b_n + \varepsilon]$.
(iii) $||\nabla \tilde{I}(\theta, u)||_{\mathbb{R} \times (H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*} \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}$.
Here we have used the notations

$$\mathrm{dist}_{\mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)}((\theta, u), A) = \inf_{(t,v) \in A} \sqrt{|\theta - t|^2 + ||u - v||^2}$$

for $A \subset \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and

$$\nabla \tilde{I}(\theta, u) := \Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tilde{I}(\theta, u), \tilde{I}'(\theta, u) \Big).$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{I}(\theta, -u) = \tilde{I}(\theta, u)$ for all $(\theta, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can deduce that $\tilde{\Gamma}_n$ is stable under the pseudo-deformation flow generated by $\tilde{I}(\theta, u)$. Taking into account $\tilde{b}_n = b_n > 0$, $I(0) = 0$ and $\max_{\sigma \in D_n} \tilde{I}(0, \gamma_n(\sigma)) < 0$, the proof of Lemma goes in a standard way.

□

Then we can deduce the following result:

Theorem 6. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $(\theta_k, u_k) \subset \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*
(i) $\theta_k \to 0$.
(ii) $\tilde{I}(\theta_k, u_k) \to b_n$.
(iii) $\tilde{I}'(\theta_k, u_k) \to 0$ *strongly in* $(H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*$.
(iv) $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \tilde{I}(\theta_k, u_k) \to 0$.

Proof. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\gamma_k \in \Gamma_n$ such that

$$\max_{\sigma \in D_n} I(\gamma_k(\sigma)) \leq b_n + \frac{1}{k}.$$

Since $\tilde{\gamma}_k(\sigma) = (0, \gamma_k(\sigma)) \in \tilde{\Gamma}_n$ and $\tilde{b}_n = b_n$, we have

$$\max_{\sigma \in D_n} \tilde{I}(\tilde{\gamma}_k(\sigma)) \leq \tilde{b}_n + \frac{1}{k}.$$

By Lemma 9 follows the existence of $(\theta_k, u_k) \subset \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\text{dist}_{\mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)}((\theta_k, u_k), \tilde{\gamma}_k(D_n)) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{k}} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\tilde{I}(\theta_k, u_k) \in \left[b_n - \frac{1}{k}, b_n + \frac{1}{k}\right] \tag{3.8}$$

$$||\nabla \tilde{I}(\theta_k, u_k)||_{\mathbb{R}\times(H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{k}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Then (3.7) and $\tilde{\gamma}_k(D_n) \subset \{0\} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ imply (i), (ii) follows by (3.8), and (iii) and (iv) are obtained as consequence of (3.9).

□

Now, we investigate the boundedness and the compactness properties of the sequence $(\theta_k, u_k) \subset \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ obtained in Theorem 6.
More precisely, we are able to prove that

Theorem 7. *Let $(\theta_k, u_k) \subset \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence satisfying (i)-(iv) of Theorem 6.*
Then we have
(a) (u_k) is bounded in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
(b) (θ_k, u_k) has a strongly convergent subsequence in $\mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. (a) By using (ii) and (iv) of Theorem 6 we can see that

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{(N-2s)\theta_k}[u_k]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - e^{N\theta_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_k)dx \to b_n$$

and

$$\frac{N-2s}{2}e^{(N-2s)\theta_k}[u_k]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - Ne^{N\theta_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_k)dx \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$. Therefore we deduce that

$$[u_k]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to \frac{Nb_n}{s} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_k)dx \to \frac{N-2s}{2s}b_n$$

as $k \to \infty$.
By Lemma 4, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|h(t)| \leq C|t|^{2^*_s - 1} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.11}$$

Set

$$\varepsilon_k = ||\tilde{I}'(\theta_k, u_k)||_{(H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*}.$$

By (iii) of Theorem 6 follows that $\varepsilon_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, so we get

$$|\tilde{I}'(\theta_k, u_k)u_k| \leq \varepsilon_k||u_k||. \tag{3.12}$$

Taking into account (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), $(h3)$ of Lemma 4 and by the Sobolev inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{(N-2s)\theta_k}[u_k]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} &+ \frac{m}{2}e^{N\theta_k}||u_k||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\leq e^{N\theta_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{m}{2}u_k^2 + g(u_k)u_k\,dx + \varepsilon_k||u_k|| \\ &\leq e^{N\theta_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u_k)u_k\,dx + \varepsilon_k||u_k|| \\ &\leq Ce^{N\theta_k}||u_k||^{2^*_s}_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \varepsilon_k||u_k|| \\ &\leq CC_*e^{N\theta_k}[u_k]^{2^*_s}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \varepsilon_k||u_k|| \\ &\leq CC_*C'e^{N\theta_k} + \varepsilon_k||u_k|| \end{aligned}$$

from which follows the boundedness of $||u_k||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$.
Therefore (u_k) is bounded in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
(b) By (a) we may assume that, up to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned} &u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ &u_k \to u \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \forall q \in (2, 2^*_s), \\ &u_k \to u \text{ a.e. } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

By using (iii) of Theorem 6, we can see that

$$e^{(N-2s)\theta_k}\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}}\frac{(u_k(x)-u_k(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))\,dxdy - e^{N\theta_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_k)\varphi\,dx \to 0 \tag{3.14}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
Then $I'(u)\varphi = 0$ for any $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and by the density of $C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get $I'(u)\varphi = 0$ for any $\varphi \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In particular

$$[u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u)u\,dx. \tag{3.15}$$

Since (3.14) holds for any $\varphi \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, taking $\varphi = u_k$ in (3.14), we have

$$e^{(N-2s)\theta_k}[u_k]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - e^{N\theta_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u_k)u_k\,dx = o(1) \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{(N-2s)\theta_k}[u_k]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{m}{2}e^{N\theta_k}||u_k||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &= e^{N\theta_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{m}{2}u_k^2 + g(u_k)u_k\,dx + o(1) \\ &= e^{N\theta_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u_k)u_k dx - e^{N\theta_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}[h(u_k)u_k - \frac{m}{2}u_k^2 - g(u_k)u_k]\,dx + o(1) \\ &= e^{N\theta_k}A_k - e^{N\theta_k}B_k + o(1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

By using $(h6)$ of Lemma 4 follows that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h(u)u\,dx. \tag{3.17}$$

By $(h3)$ of Lemma 4 and Fatou's Lemma, we have

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} B_k \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Big[h(u)u - \frac{m}{2}u^2 - g(u)u\Big]dx. \tag{3.18}$$

Taking into account (3.15), (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{k\to\infty} ||u_k||^2 &= \limsup_{k\to\infty}\Big[e^{(N-2s)\theta_k}[u_k]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{m}{2}e^{N\theta_k}||u_k||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}\Big]\\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\Big[\frac{m}{2}u^2 + g(u)u\Big]\,dx\\ &= ||u||^2\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $u_k \to u$ in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

□

Taking into account Theorem 7, Lemma 11 and (3.5) we can give the proof of the Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(\theta_k, u_k) \subset \mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence satisfying (i)-(iv) of Theorem 6.
By Theorem 7 we know that there exists $u_n \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_k \to u_n$ in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $k \to \infty$.
Then u_n satisfies

$$I(u_n) = \tilde{I}(0, u_n) = b_n \text{ and } I'(u_n) = \tilde{I}'(0, u_n) = 0.$$

Since $b_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ we can conclude the proof.

□

4. The positive mass case

In this section we prove the existence of a positive solution to (1.1) by using the mountain pass approach developed in the previous section. We recall that the existence of a positive ground state solution to (1.1) has been obtained in [18]. Here, we give an alternative proof of this fact, and in addition, we show that the least energy solution of (1.1) coincides with the mountain-pass value. This is in clear accordance with the result obtained in the classic framework by Jeanjean and Tanaka in [30]. Moreover, we investigate the symmetry of solution to (1.1) by using the moving-plane method. Therefore, the main result of this section can be stated as follows

Theorem 8. *Under the assumptions $(g1)$-$(g3)$ there exists a classical positive solution u of (1.1). Moreover u is radially decreasing and u can be characterized by a mountain pass argument in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Let us introduce the following functional on $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}[u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)dx$$

By assumptions on g, it is clear that I is well defined and that they are $C^1(H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))$-functional.
We prove that I has a mountain pass geometry:

Lemma 10.

(i) $I(0) = 0$.
(ii) There are $r_0 > 0$ *and* $\rho_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$I(u) \geq \rho_0 > 0 \text{ for } ||u|| = r_0$$

(iii) There exists $e_0 \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *such that* $||e_0|| > r_0$ *and* $I(e_0) < 0$.

Proof. By using the assumptions (g_1) and (g_2) we can see that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists C_ε such that

$$-g(t) \geq (m - \varepsilon)t - C_\varepsilon t^{\frac{N+2s}{N-2s}}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. This and the Sobolev embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2}[u]^2 + \frac{m-\varepsilon}{2}||u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} - C'_\varepsilon||u||^{2^*_s}_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\min\{1, m-\epsilon\}||u||^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - C''_\varepsilon||u||^{2^*_s}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \end{aligned}$$

that is there exist $\rho_0, r_0 > 0$ such that (ii) is verified. Now, we use the hypothesis (g_4). For $R > 1$, define

$$w_R(x) = \begin{cases} \xi_0 & \text{for } |x| \leq R \\ \xi_0(R + 1 - r) & \text{for } r = |x| \in [R, R+1] \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq R + 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to prove that $w_R \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the definition of w_R follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(w_R)dx &= G(\xi_0)|B_R| + \int_{\{r\leq|x|\leq r+1\}} G(\xi_0(R+1-|x|))dx \\ &\geq G(\xi_0)|B_R| - |B_{R+1} - B_R| \max_{t\in[0,\xi_0]} |G(t)| \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+1)}[G(\xi_0)R^N - \max_{t\in[0,\xi_0]} |G(t)|((R+1)^N - R^N)] \\ &\geq \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+1)}[G(\xi_0) - \max_{t\in[0,\xi_0]} |G(t)|((1+\frac{1}{R})^N - 1)]R^N \end{aligned}$$

so there exists $\bar{R} > 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(w_R)dx > 0$ for all $R \geq \bar{R}$. Then, by setting $w_t(x) = w_{\bar{R}}(\frac{x}{t})$ we have

$$I(w_t) = \frac{t^{N-2s}}{2}[w_{\bar{R}}]^2 - t^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(w_{\bar{R}})dx \to -\infty \tag{4.1}$$

as $t \to \infty$. Hence there exists $\tau > 0$ such that $I(w_\tau) < 0$. □

As in the previous section, we introduce the following functional

$$\tilde{I}(\theta, u) = \frac{1}{2}e^{(N-2s)\theta}[u]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - e^{N\theta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u)dx$$

for $(\theta, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
We define the mountain pass minimax values b_{mp} and $\tilde{b}_{mp}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{b}_{mp} = \inf_{\tilde{\gamma}\in\tilde{\Gamma}} \max_{t\in[0,1]} I(\tilde{\tilde{\gamma}}(t)),$$

$$b_{mp} = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\max_{t\in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t)),$$

where

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \{\tilde{\gamma} \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \tilde{\gamma}(0) = (0,0) \text{ and } \tilde{\gamma}(1) = (0,e_0)\}.$$

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = e_0\}.$$

We aim to show that b_{mp} is a critical value for $I(u)$.
We begin proving that

Lemma 11. *$\tilde{b}_{mp} = b_{mp}$.*

Proof. Let us observe that $(0,\gamma(t)) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, so we can see that $\Gamma \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$. Then, by the definitions of b_{mp} and $\tilde{b}_{mp}$ follows that $\tilde{b}_{mp} \le b_{mp}$. Now fix $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (\theta(t), \eta(t)) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and we put $\gamma(t) = \eta(t)(e^{-\theta(t)}x)$. Then it is easy to see that $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and by using (3.6), $I(\gamma(t)) = \tilde{I}(\tilde{\gamma}(\sigma))$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. As a consequence we have $\tilde{b}_{mp} \ge b_{mp}$.

□

As in the previous section, we can show that the functional $\tilde{I}(\theta, u)$ admits a Palais-Smale sequence in $\mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with a property related to the fractional Pohozaev identity, that is

Theorem 9. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $(\theta_k, u_k) \subset \mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*
(i) $\theta_k \to 0$.
(ii) $\tilde{I}(\theta_k, u_k) \to b_{mp} = \tilde{b}_{mp}$.
(iii) $\tilde{I}'(\theta_k, u_k) \to 0$ strongly in $(H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))^*$.
(iv) $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\tilde{I}(\theta_k, u_k) \to 0$.

Moreover, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 7, we can deduce that

Theorem 10. *Let $(\theta_k, u_k) \subset \mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence satisfying (i)-(iv) of Theorem 6.*
Then we have
(a) (u_k) is bounded in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
(b) (θ_k, u_k) has a strongly convergent subsequence in $\mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Taking into account of the above results, we can prove the existence of a nontrivial solution to (1.1) as follows. Let $(\theta_k, u_k) \subset \mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence satisfying (i)-(iv) of Theorem 9. By Theorem 10 we know that there exists $u \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_k \to u$ in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $k \to \infty$. Then u satisfies

$$I(u) = \tilde{I}(0,u) = b_{mp} \text{ and } I'(u) = \tilde{I}'(0,u) = 0.$$

Now, we show that the mountain pass level b_{mp} does not depend e_0, so we may write

$$b_{mp} = \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\max_{t\in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t))$$

where

$$\Gamma_{mp} = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N)) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } I(\gamma(1)) < 0\}.$$

To do this, we need to prove the following

Lemma 12. *The set $A = \{u \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N) : I(u) < 0\}$ is path-connected.*

Proof. We proceed as in [15]. Firstly we prove that $B = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : I(u) < 0\}$ is path connected. Take $u_1, u_2 \in B$ and assume that $\mathrm{supp}(u_1) \cup \mathrm{supp}(u_2) \subset B_R$ for some $R > 0$. Let us denote by $u_i^t(x) = u_i(\frac{x}{t})$ for $t > 0$ and $i = 1, 2$.
Then

$$I(u_i^t) = \frac{t^{N-2s}}{2}[u_i]^2 - t^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_i)dx$$

so we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}I(u_i^t) = Nt^{N-1}\Big(t^{-2s}\frac{1}{2}[u_i]^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_i)dx\Big) - st^{N-2s-1}[u_i]^2.$$

In particular, for $t \geq 1$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}I(u_i^t) \leq Nt^{N-1}I(u_i) - st^{N-2s-1}[u_i]^2 < 0.$$

Since $u_i \in B$, we deduce that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_i)dx > 0$, so we can see that $I(u_i^t) \to -\infty$ as $t \to \infty$.
Hence, we can choose $t_1 > 1$ and $t_2 > 1$ such that

$$I(u_1^{t_1}) \leq -1 - \max_{t\in[0,1]} |I(tu_2)| \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$I(u_2^{t_2}) \leq -1 - \max_{t\in[0,1]} |I(tu_1^{t_1})|. \tag{4.3}$$

Now, we define $u_3^\theta(x) = u_1^{t_1}(x + 2\theta R(t_1 + t_2)e_1)$ for $\theta \in [0, 1]$, where $e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$. It is clear that for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$

$$I(u_3^\theta) = I(u_3^0) = I(u_1^{t_1}) < 0.$$

Let us observe that $\mathrm{supp}(u_3^1) \cap \mathrm{supp}(u_2^t) = \emptyset$ for all $t \in [1, t_2]$, so by using (4.2), we deduce that

$$I(u_3^1 + \theta u_2) = I(u_3^1) + I(\theta u_2) < 0$$

for all $\theta \in [0, 1]$. We also note that $I(u_3^1 + u_2^t) = I(u_3^1) + I(u_2^t)$ for $t \in [1, t_2]$, $I(u_2^t) < 0$ for all $t \in [1, t_2]$, and $I(\theta u_3^1 + u_2^{t_2}) = I(\theta u_1^{t_1}) + I(u_2^{t_2}) < 0$ for $\theta \in [0, 1]$ in view of (4.3). Then, considering the following paths

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1(\theta) &= u_1^\theta \text{ for } \theta \in [1, t_1],\\
\gamma_2(\theta) &= u_3^\theta \text{ for } \theta \in [0, 1],\\
\gamma_3(\theta) &= u_3^1 + \theta u_2 \text{ for } \theta \in [0, 1],\\
\gamma_4(\theta) &= u_3^1 + u_2^\theta \text{ for } \theta \in [1, t_2],\\
\gamma_5(\theta) &= \theta u_3^1 + u_2^{t_2} \text{ for } \theta \in [0, 1],\\
\gamma_6(\theta) &= u_2^\theta \text{ for } \theta \in [1, t_2]
\end{aligned}$$

we can find a path connecting u_1 and u_2 on which I is negative.
Now, we show that A is path-connected. Let $u_1, u_2 \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $I(u_i) < 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, and take $a > 0$ such that $I(u) < 0$ if $\|u_1 - u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq a$ or $\|u_2 - u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq a$. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is dense in $H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we can find $\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u_1 - \bar{u}_1\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq a$ and $\|u_2 - \bar{u}_2\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq a$. Then it is enough to show that there is a path $\gamma \in C([0, 1], H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))$ such that $\gamma(0) = \bar{u}_1$, $\gamma(1) = \bar{u}_2$

and $I(\gamma(t)) < 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Since B is path-connected, there exists a path $\eta \in C([0,1], H^s(\mathbb{R}^N))$ such that $\eta(0) = \bar{u}_1$, $\eta(1) = \bar{u}_2$ and $I(\eta(t)) < 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Let us observe that by the proof that B is path-connected, follows that for every $t \in [0,1]$, the path $\eta(t)$ is of the type $t\bar{u}_1(t_1x+x_0)+t'\bar{u}_2(t_2x+x_0')$ for some $t, t', t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_0, x_0' \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, for any $t \in [0,1]$, $\eta(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let us denote by $\eta^*(t)$ the Schwartz rearrangement of $\eta(t)$. Then, it is clear that $\eta^*(t) \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $I(\eta^*(t)) \leq I(\eta(t)) < 0$. Since $\eta(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the function $\eta^*(t)$ is co-area regular, so, by using the fact that for any $s \in (0,1)$, $p \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$, the rearrangement map is continuous everywhere on $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see Theorem 9.2 in [1]), we deduce that $\eta \in C([0,1], H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))$. This concludes the proof. □

Then, we are able to prove that

Lemma 13. *There exists a positive solution to (1.1) such that $I(u) = b_{mp}$. Moreover, for any non-trivial solution v to (1.1), we have $b_{mp} \leq I(v)$. This means that u is the least energy solution to (1.1) and that b_{mp} is the least energy level.*

Proof. We argue as before and for any $\gamma_j \in \Gamma_{mp}$ satisfying

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]} I(\gamma_j(t)) \leq b_{mp} + \frac{1}{j} \tag{4.4}$$

we can find $(\theta_j, u_j) \subset \mathbb{R} \times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\mathrm{dist}_{\mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)}((\theta_j, u_j), \{0\} \times \gamma_j([0,1])) \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{j}} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$u_j \to u \text{ in } H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{4.6}$$

where u is a critical point of I such that $I(u) = b_{mp}$.
Since $||x|-|y|| \leq |x-y|$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and G is even, we can deduce that $I(|u|) \leq I(u)$ for any $u \in H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so we may assume that $\gamma_j \in \Gamma_{mp}$ in (4.4) satisfies

$$\gamma_j(t)(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then it follows from (4.5) that as $j \to \infty$

$$||u_j^-||_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \mathrm{dist}_{\mathbb{R}\times H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)}((\theta_j, u_j), \{0\} \times \gamma_j([0,1])) \to 0$$

so we get $u^- = 0$, that is $u \geq 0$. By the maximum principle for the Fractional Laplacian [16], we obtain $u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Now, let v is a nontrivial solution to (1.1) and we define

$$\gamma(t)(x) = \begin{cases} v(\frac{x}{t}) & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we can see

$$||\gamma(t)||^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = t^{N-2s}[v]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + t^N||v||_2^2$$
$$I(\gamma(t)) = \frac{t^{N-2s}}{2}[v]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - t^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(v)\,dx.$$

Hence it is clear that $\gamma \in C([0,\infty), H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N))$.
By using the Pohozaev identity, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(v)dx = \frac{N-2s}{2N}[v]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} > 0,$$

so we can infer that

$$\frac{d}{dt}I(\gamma(t)) > 0 \text{ for } t \in (0,1) \text{ and } \frac{d}{dt}I(\gamma(t)) < 0 \text{ for } t > 1.$$

Thus, after a suitable scale change in t, there exists a path $\gamma(t) : [0,1] \to H^s_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\gamma(0) = 0$, $I(\gamma(1)) < 0$, $v \in \gamma([0,1])$ and

$$\max_{t\in[0,1]} I(\gamma(t)) = I(v).$$

Therefore $b_{mp} \leq I(v)$. □

Now, we conclude this section, studying regularity and symmetry of solutions of (1.1) in the case of "positive mass".

Lemma 14. *Let u be a positive solution to $(-\Delta)^s u = g(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $g \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $g'(0) < 0$ and $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} \frac{g(t)}{|t|^{2^*_s-1}} = 0$. Then $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is radially symmetric and strictly decreasing about some point in $\mathbb{R}^N$.*

Proof. By using a Brezis-Kato argument, we know that if u is a weak solution to $(-\Delta)^s u = a(x)u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, with $a \in L^{\frac{N}{2s}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2,\infty)$. By assumptions on g, we deduce that $g(u(x)) \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [2,\infty)$, so we get $u \in W^{s,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q < \infty$. By using Sobolev embedding, we can infer that $u \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\gamma \in (0,1)$. In particular u decays to zero at infinity. By using Lemma 4.4 in [16], we deduce that $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $\beta \in (0,1)$. Now, following [23], we prove that u is radially symmetric and strictly decreasing about some point in $\mathbb{R}^N$.
For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the following sets

$$\Sigma_\lambda = \{(x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 > \lambda\}$$

and

$$T_\lambda = \{(x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 = \lambda\}$$

and we denote by $u_\lambda(x) = u(x^\lambda)$, where $x^\lambda = (2\lambda - x_1, x')$.
Now, we divide our arguments into three steps.
Step 1: We show that $\lambda_0 = \sup\{\lambda : u_\lambda \leq u \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda\}$ is finite. By using $(u_\lambda - u)^+$ as a test function in the equation for u and u_λ, we can see that

$$\int_{\Sigma_\lambda} [(-\Delta)^s u_\lambda - (-\Delta)^s u](u_\lambda - u)^+ dx = \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} (g(u_\lambda) - g(u))(u_\lambda - u)^+ dx$$

Since $u(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, there exists $R > 0$ such that $0 < u(x) < \delta$ for all $|x| > R$, where $\delta > 0$ is the constant such that $g'(t) < 0$ for all $|t| < \delta$. If $x \in \Sigma_\lambda$ and $\lambda < -R$, we deduce that $u_\lambda(x) < \delta$, so we get

$$\int_{\Sigma_\lambda} (g(u_\lambda) - g(u))(u_\lambda - u)^+ dx \leq 0$$

for all $\lambda < -R$. Proceeding as in proof of Lemma 4.2 in [23], we can see that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_\lambda} [(-\Delta)^s u_\lambda - (-\Delta)^s u](u_\lambda - u)^+ dx \geq C|w|^2_{L^{2^*_s}(\Sigma_\lambda)} \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$w(x) := \begin{cases} (u_\lambda - u)^+(x) & \text{if } x \in \Sigma_\lambda \\ (u_\lambda - u)^-(x) & \text{if } x \in \Sigma_\lambda^c. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$|w|_{L^{2_s^*}(\Sigma_\lambda)} = 0 \text{ for all } \lambda < -R,$$

that is $w = 0$ on Σ_λ, so $u_\lambda \leq u$ in Σ_λ for all $\lambda < -R$. This implies that $\lambda_0 \geq -R$. On the other hand, since u decays at infinity, then there exists λ_1 such that $u(x) < u_{\lambda_1}(x)$ for some $x \in \Sigma_{\lambda_1}$. Hence λ_0 is finite.
Step 2: We prove that $u \equiv u_{\lambda_0}$ in Σ_{λ_0}. We assume by contradiction that $u \neq u_{\lambda_0}$ and $u \geq u_{\lambda_0}$ in Σ_{λ_0}. Suppose next that there exists $x_0 \in \Sigma_{\lambda_0}$ such that $u_{\lambda_0}(x_0) = u(x_0)$. Then we can see that

$$(-\Delta)^s u_{\lambda_0}(x_0) - (-\Delta)^s u(x_0) = f(u_{\lambda_0}(x_0)) - f(u(x_0)) = 0. \tag{4.8}$$

On the other hand, observing that $|x - z| \leq |x - z_\lambda|$ for all $x, z \in \Sigma_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned}(-\Delta)^s u_{\lambda_0}(x_0) - (-\Delta^s) u(x_0) &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u_{\lambda_0}(x_0) - u(y)}{|x_0 - y|^{N+2s}}\, dy \\ &= -\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda_0}} (u_{\lambda_0}(y) - u(y)) \left(\frac{1}{|x_0 - y|^{N+2s}} - \frac{1}{|x_0 - y_{\lambda_0}|^{N+2s}} \right) dy > 0,\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (4.8). Therefore $u > u_{\lambda_0}$ in Σ_{λ_0}.
In order to complete Step 2, we only need to prove that $u \geq u_\lambda$ in Σ_λ continues to hold when $\lambda_0 < \lambda < \lambda_0 + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ small. Let us consider then $\varepsilon > 0$, to be chosen later, and take $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon)$. Let $P = (\lambda, 0)$ and $B(P, R)$ be the ball centered at P and with radius $R > 1$ to be chosen later.
Define $\tilde{B} = \Sigma_\lambda \cap B(P, R)$ and let us consider $(u_\lambda - u)^+$ test function in the equation for u and u_λ in Σ_λ. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Sigma_\lambda} [(-\Delta)^s u_\lambda - (-\Delta)^s u](u_\lambda - u)^+ dx = \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} (g(u_\lambda) - g(u))(u_\lambda - u)^+\, dx \\ &= \int_{\tilde{B}} (g(u_\lambda) - g(u))(u_\lambda - u)^+\, dx + \int_{\Sigma_\lambda \setminus \tilde{B}} (g(u_\lambda) - g(u))(u_\lambda - u)^+\, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Since u goes to zero at infinity, there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that $u(x) < \delta$ for all $x \in B^c_{R_0}(0)$. Then, we choose $R > 0$ such that $\Sigma_\lambda \setminus \tilde{B} \subset B^c(P, R) \subset B^c_{R_0}(0)$. Therefore, $u_\lambda(x) < \delta$ for all $x \in \Sigma_\lambda \setminus \tilde{B}$, and we can see that

$$\int_{\Sigma_\lambda \setminus \tilde{B}} (g(u_\lambda) - g(u))(u_\lambda - u)^+\, dx \leq 0.$$

This and (4.9) yield

$$\int_{\Sigma_\lambda} [(-\Delta)^s u_\lambda - (-\Delta)^s u](u_\lambda - u)^+ dx \leq \int_{\tilde{B}} (g(u_\lambda) - g(u))(u_\lambda - u)^+\, dx. \tag{4.10}$$

Since g is locally Lipschitz, the integral on the right hand side in (4.10) is finite. Moreover, by using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{B}}(g(u_\lambda)-g(u))(u_\lambda-u)^+dx \leq C\int_{\tilde{B}}|w|^2\chi_{\mathrm{supp}(u_\lambda-u)^+}dx$$
$$= C|\tilde{B}\cap \mathrm{supp}(u_\lambda-u)^+|^{\frac{2s}{N}}\left(\int_{\tilde{B}}|w|^{\frac{2N}{N-2s}}dx\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{N}}. \tag{4.11}$$

By using the fact that $u > u_{\lambda_0}$ in Σ_{λ_0} and the continuity of u, we can see that $u > u_\lambda$ on any compact $K \subset \Sigma_\lambda$, for λ close to λ_0. This means that $\mathrm{supp}(u_\lambda - u)^+$ is small in $\tilde{B}$ for λ close to λ_0, that is we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$C|\tilde{B}\cap \mathrm{supp}(u_\lambda-u)^+|^{\frac{2s}{N}} < \frac{1}{2}. \tag{4.12}$$

On the other hand, as in Step 1, we can deduce the existence of a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Sigma_\lambda}[(-\Delta)^s u_\lambda - (-\Delta)^s u](u_\lambda-u)^+dx \geq C|w|^2_{L^{2^*_s}(\Sigma_\lambda)}. \tag{4.13}$$

Putting together (4.10), (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13), we deduce that $w = 0$ in Σ_λ, which gives a contradiction. This concludes the proof of Step 2.
Step 3: By translation, we may say that $\lambda_0 = 0$. Repeating the argument from the other side, we find that u is symmetric about x_1-axis. Using the same argument in any arbitrary direction, we finally conclude that u is radially symmetric.
Now, we prove that $u(r)$ is strictly decreasing in $r > 0$. Let us consider $0 < x_1 < \tilde{x}_1$ and let $\lambda = \frac{x_1+\tilde{x}_1}{2}$. Then, as proved above we have $w_\lambda(x) > 0$ for $x \in \Sigma_\lambda$.
Hence

$$0 < w_\lambda(\tilde{x}_1,\dots,0) = u_\lambda(\tilde{x}_1,\dots,0) - u(\tilde{x}_1,\dots,0) = u(x_1,\dots,0) - u(\tilde{x}_1,\dots,0)$$

that is $u(x_1,\dots,0) > u(\tilde{x}_1,\dots,0)$. Using the radial symmetry of u, we conclude from here the monotonicity of u. □

5. The zero mass case

This last section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.
Let $\epsilon_0 = \frac{G(\xi_0)}{\xi_0^2} > 0$ and let us define $g_\epsilon(t) = g(t) - \epsilon t$ with $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$. Then g_ϵ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 8, so we know that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ there exists $u_\epsilon \in H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ positive and radially decreasing in $r = |x|$, such that $I_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) = b_\epsilon$ and $I'_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) = 0$. Since u_ϵ satisfies the Pohozaev identity we can see that $\frac{s}{N}[u_\epsilon]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = b_\epsilon$. Now we prove that it is possible to estimate b_ϵ from above independently of ϵ:

Theorem 11. *There exists $b_0 > 0$ such that $0 < b_\epsilon \leq b_0$ for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$.*

Proof. For $R > 1$, define

$$w_R(x) = \begin{cases} \xi_0 & \text{for } |x| \leq R \\ \xi_0(R+1-r) & \text{for } r = |x| \in [R, R+1] \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq R+1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $w_R \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By the definition of w_R and $g_\epsilon(t) = g(t) - \epsilon t$, we deduce that for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_\epsilon(w_R)dx &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_{\epsilon_0}(w_R)dx \\
&= G_{\epsilon_0}(\xi_0)|B_R| + \int_{\{r\leq|x|\leq r+1\}} G_{\epsilon_0}(\xi_0(R+1-|x|))dx \\
&\geq G_{\epsilon_0}(\xi_0)|B_R| - |B_{R+1} - B_R| \max_{t\in[0,\xi_0]} |G_{\epsilon_0}(t)| \\
&= \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+1)}[G_{\epsilon_0}(\xi_0)R^N - \max_{t\in[0,\xi_0]} |G_{\epsilon_0}(t)|((R+1)^N - R^N)] \\
&\geq \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+1)}[G_{\epsilon_0}(\xi_0) - \max_{t\in[0,\xi_0]} |G_{\epsilon_0}(t)|((1+\frac{1}{R})^N - 1)]R^N
\end{aligned}$$

so there exists $\bar{R} > 0$ (independent of ϵ) such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_\epsilon(w_R)dx > 0$ for all $R \geq \bar{R}$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$. Then, by setting $w_t(x) = w_{\bar{R}}(\frac{x}{t})$ we have for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$

$$I_\epsilon(w_t) = \frac{t^{N-2s}}{2}[w_{\bar{R}}]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} - t^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_\epsilon(w_{\bar{R}})dx \to -\infty \tag{5.1}$$

as $t \to \infty$. Hence there exists $\tau > 0$ such that $I_\epsilon(w_\tau) < 0$ for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$. We put $\bar{e}(x) = w_\tau(x)$. Therefore,

$$I_\epsilon(u_\epsilon) = b_\epsilon \leq \sup_{\epsilon\in(0,\epsilon_0]} \max_{t\in[0,1]} I_\epsilon(t\bar{e}) =: b_0$$

for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$. □

From the above result, we can infer that

$$[u_\epsilon]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \frac{N}{s} b_0 \text{ for all } \epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0] \tag{5.2}$$

so, we can deduce that as $\epsilon \to 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } \mathcal{D}^{s,2}_r(\mathbb{R}^N) \\
&u_\epsilon \to u \text{ in } L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N), \text{ for any } q \in [2, 2^*_s) \\
&u_\epsilon \to u \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Since u_ϵ is a weak solution to (1.1), we know that

$$\langle u_\epsilon, \varphi\rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [g(u_\epsilon) - \epsilon u_\epsilon]\varphi\, dx, \tag{5.4}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Taking into account (5.2), (5.3), $(h1)$-$(h2)$, $\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we can apply the first part of Lemma 2 with $P(t) = g(t)$, $Q(t) = |t|^{2^*_s - 1}$, $v_\epsilon = u_\epsilon$, $v = u$ and $w = \varphi$ to pass to the limit in (5.4) as $\epsilon \to 0$, and we obtain

$$\langle u, \varphi\rangle_{\mathcal{D}^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(u)\varphi\, dx,$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is u is a weak solution to $(-\Delta)^s u = g(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. We need to prove that $u \not\equiv 0$. We observe that $u_\epsilon > 0$ and satisfies the Pohozaev identity. On

the other hand, by (h_1)-(h_2), there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$G(t) \leq c|t|^{2_s^*} \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
[u_\epsilon]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq \frac{2N}{N-2s}\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}||u_\epsilon||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + [u_\epsilon]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&= \frac{2N}{N-2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u_\epsilon)dx \\
&\leq \frac{2N}{N-2s}c\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\epsilon|^{2_s^*}dx \\
&\leq C[u_\epsilon]^{2_s^*}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}
\end{aligned}$$

so we can see that $[u_\epsilon]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq c > 0$, for some c independent of ϵ.
Now, we argue by contradiction, and we assume that $u = 0$. We begin proving that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_+(u_\epsilon)dx \to 0 \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0. \tag{5.5}$$

By using Lemma 1 with $t = 2_s^*$ and $||u_\epsilon||_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_*[u_\epsilon]_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$ we can see that there exists C independent of ϵ, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|u_\epsilon(x)| &\leq \left(\frac{N}{\omega_{N-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*}} |x|^{-\frac{N}{2_s^*}}||u_\epsilon||_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\
&\leq C|x|^{-\frac{N}{2_s^*}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$. By (5.6), for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that $|u_\epsilon(x)| \leq \delta$ for $|x| \geq R$. Moreover by assumption (h_1), for any $\eta > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$G_+(t) \leq \eta|t|^{2_s^*} \text{ for } |t| \leq \delta.$$

Hence for large R we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R} G_+(u_\epsilon)\,dx &\leq \eta\int_{\mathbb{R}^N\setminus B_R} |u_\epsilon|^{2_s^*}dx \\
&\leq C\eta[u_\epsilon]^{2_s^*}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C\eta,
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$. On the other hand, by assumption (h_2), for any $\eta > 0$ there exists a constant C_η such that

$$G_+(t) \leq \eta|t|^{2_s^*} + C_\eta \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now, fix $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with sufficiently small measure $|\Omega| < \frac{\eta}{C_\eta}$. Then, for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$

$$\int_\Omega G_+(u_\epsilon)\,dx \leq \eta\int_\Omega |u_\epsilon|^{2_s^*}dx + C_\eta|\Omega| \leq C\eta.$$

By applying Vitali's convergence Theorem, we can deduce that (5.5) is satisfied. Now, we can observe that

$$[u_\epsilon]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq [u_\epsilon]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{2N}{N-2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_-(u_\epsilon)dx + \frac{2N}{N-2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}|u_\epsilon|^2 dx$$
$$= \frac{2N}{N-2s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_+(u_\epsilon)dx \tag{5.7}$$

and by using (5.5) we can deduce that $0 < c \leq \limsup_{\epsilon\to 0}[u_\epsilon]^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0$, that is a contradiction. Then $u \in \mathcal{D}^{s,2}_{rad}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a nontrivial weak solution to (1.1).

References

[1] F.J. Almgren and E. Lieb, *Symmetric decreasing rearrangement is sometimes continuous*, J. Am. Math. Soc. 2, (1989), 683–773.

[2] C. O. Alves, M. A.S. Souto and M. Montenegro, *Existence of a ground state solution for a nonlinear scalar field equation with critical growth*, Calc. Var. **43** (2012), 537–554.

[3] C. Alves, A. S. Souto, and M. Montenegro, *Existence of solution for two classes of elliptic problems in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with zero mass*, J. Differential Equations **252** (2012), no. 10, 5735–5750.

[4] A. Ambrosetti, V. Felli, and A. Malchiodi, *Ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with potentials vanishing at infinity* J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **7** (2005), no. 1, 117–144.

[5] V. Ambrosio, *Periodic solutions for a pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **120** (2015), 262–284.

[6] V. Ambrosio, *Ground states solutions for a non-linear equation involving a pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger operator*, J. Math. Phys. 57 (2016), no. 5, 051502, 18 pp.

[7] V. Ambrosio, *Zero mass case for a fractional Berestycki-Lions type problem*, Preprint. arXiv:1602.05726 .

[8] A. Azzollini and A. Pomponio, *On a "zero mass" nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **7** (2007), no. 4, 599–627.

[9] A. Azzollini, P. d'Avena and A. Pomponio, *Multiple critical points for a class of nonlinear functionals*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. **190** (2011), 507–523.

[10] V. Benci, C. R. Grisanti and A.M. Micheletti, *Existence of solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations with $V(\infty) = 0$*, Contributions to non-linear analysis, 53–65, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 66, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006.

[11] V. Benci and A. M. Micheletti *Solutions in exterior domains of null mass nonlinear field equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **6** (2006), no. 2, 171–198.

[12] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 313–345.

[13] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. 2. Existence of infinitely many solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 347–375.

[14] H. Berestycki, T. Gallouët and O. Kavian, *Equations de Champs scalaires euclidiens non linéaires dans le plan*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **297** (1983) 307–310.

[15] J. Byeon, *Singularly perturbed nonlinear Dirichlet problems with a general nonlinearity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362**, no. 4, (2010), 1981–2001.

[16] X. Cabré and Y.Sire, *Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians I: regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **31** (2014), 23–53.

[17] L.A. Caffarelli and L.Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007),1245–1260.

[18] X. J. Chang and Z.Q. Wang, *Ground state of scalar field equations involving fractional Laplacian with general nonlinearity*, Nonlinearity **26**, 479–494 (2013).

[19] V. Coti Zelati and M. Nolasco, *Existence of ground states for nonlinear, pseudo-relativistic Schrödinger equations*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl 22 (1), (2011) 51–72.

[20] V. Coti Zelati and M. Nolasco, *Ground states for pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations of critical type*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 29(4), (2013) 1421–1436.
[21] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. math. **136** (2012), 521–573.
[22] P. Felmer, A. Quaas and J.Tan, *Positive solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **142** (2012), 1237–1262.
[23] P. Felmer and Y. Wang *Radial symmetry of positive solutions to equations involving the fractional Laplacian*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **16** (2014), no. 1, 1350023, 24 pp.
[24] R. L. Frank, E. Lenzmann and L. Silvestre, *Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional Laplacian*, Preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.2652.
[25] B. Gidas, *Bifurcation Phenomena in Mathematical Physics and Related Topics*, Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute held at Cargèse, June 24-July 7, 1979 (C. Bardos and D. Bessis eds.), NATO Advanced Study Institute Series. Ser. C, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 54, 1980.
[26] B. Gidas, W.M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68**, n. 3 (1979), 209–243.
[27] J. Hirata, N. Ikoma and K. Tanaka, *Nonlinear scalar field equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$: mountain pass and symmetric mountain pass approaches*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **35** (2010), 253–276.
[28] L. Jeanjean, *On the existence of bounded Palais-Smale sequences and application to a Landesman-Lazer type problem set on $\mathbb{R}^N$*, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A, Math., **129** (1999), 787–809.
[29] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, *A positive solution for an asymptotically linear elliptic problem on $\mathbb{R}^N$ autonomous at infinity*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. **7** (2002), 597–614.
[30] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka , *A remark on least energy solutions in $\mathbb{R}^N$*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 2399–2408.
[31] N. Laskin, *Fractional quantum mechanics and Lèvy path integrals*, Phys. Lett. A **268** (2000), 298–305.
[32] N. Laskin, *Fractional Schrödinger equation*, Phys. Rev. E **66** (2002), 056108.
[33] E.H.Lieb and H.T. Yau, *The Chandrasekhar theory of stellar collapse as the limit of quantum mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys., **112** (1987), 147–174.
[34] P. L. Lions, *Symetrié et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev*, J.Funct.Anal. **49**, (1982), 315-334.
[35] G. Molica Bisci and V. Radulescu, *Ground state solutions of scalar field fractional Schrödinger equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), n. 3, 2985–3008.
[36] G. Molica Bisci, V. Rădulescu, and R. Servadei, *Variational Methods for Nonlocal Fractional Problems*, with a Foreword by Jean Mawhin, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, *Cambridge University Press*, **162** Cambridge, 2016.
[37] K. Perera, M. Squassina and Y. Yang, *Critical fractional p-Laplacian problems with possibly vanishing potentials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **433** (2016), no. 2, 818–831.
[38] P.H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations* CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **65**, (1986).
[39] S. Secchi, *Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 031501.
[40] S. Secchi, *Perturbation results for some nonlinear equations involving fractional operators*, Differ. Equ. Appl. **5** (2013), no. 2, 221–236.
[41] M. Struwe, *Variational methods. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems*, Fourth edition. 34. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. xx+302 pp.

Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "R. Caccioppoli", Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, via Cinthia, 80126 Napoli, Italy
E-mail address: vincenzo.ambrosio2@unina.it